

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Environmental Protection / How to Protect the Environment?

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

How can the environment be protected? This is an important question for our society (Who is 'our'?). At the same time, everyone else thinks in a different way about this (How can you convince me that 'everyone else' thinks about how to go about protecting the environment in a different way? That's a big statement. Do you know everyone? Or have access to everyone's records on the matter?). (??Just like I did??). I do not believe in global warming. The facts are against it. We (Who's 'we'?) need to find the balance between nature conservation and resource utilization. We (Who's 'we'?) need to reduce the level of waste and the emission of pollutants.

Your essay rewritten: This topic could be written in several book volumes, but for the sake of this writing, let's just stay with some basics. There are at least four bigger questions to the question: save the environment or die? This is the dilemma that drives this agenda (as detailed in the United Nations Agenda 21 and Agenda 2030 projects, specifically), but what does that really mean? What does environmental protection mean? And does it need to be protected? Who should be responsible for such an arduous endeavor, and how can we weigh the cost/benefits with such a grandiose vision?

Formatted: Justified

(The first paragraph should not have specific examples.)

"Environment" literally means "everything". So, "environmental protection" implies that everything should be protected from man's footprint. This means that mankind's activities, and mankind itself, need to be extinguished, or to be reduced to a level that equals zero, or thereabouts, in order to protect the environment.

Bill Gates explains this concept in a talk he gave at Tedx, where he admits that we need to get CO2 levels "pretty close to zero". His equation is simple multiplication: $CO_2 = P \times S \times E \times C$ ($CO_2 = \text{People} \times \text{Services people use} \times \text{energy people consume} \times CO_2 \text{ per unit energy}$). His argument is that vaccines, healthcare, and reproductive services are very useful tools in carrying out this scheme, which all aid in the reduction of world populations in a manner that the elitists of the world deem necessary.

Stalin, Mao Ce-tung, Hitler, Lenin, and the like, have all tried to regulate populations of the world by killing off scores of people in the most brutal of ways possible, but now it is being done again in a more subtle way. The European oligarchs, and oligarchs around the world, in general, are squashing the growth of their people all over again, in a gentler, "more humane" way—it's called, "soft tyranny", but it isn't soft at all. Freedoms from individuals are taken away for the "benefits of the collective". As a result, not one of the socialist utopias in Europe—not a one—is growing its citizenry naturally, but just the opposite; citizenries and cultures all over the world are being reconstructed, and essentially demolished by leaps and bounds.

Formatted: English (U.S.)

The real questions can be summed up into two camps: should we build a socialist, government controlled humongous network that extracts resources from the individual in order to provide for the collective, thereby telling both the individual and

the collective that the environment needs to be saved from humankind's footprint? Or should individuals be allowed to make their own decisions, that the government's duty (in this arena) is NOT intervention, but should perhaps be a more suggestive body that believes that their citizenry can work together and cooperate together to make the best of their lives, which in turn would naturally require them to be good stewards of the Earth — as the Good Book states how people should act, and should the notion be proposed that outwardly states their citizenry should want to act freely, rather than allow others to steal their personal resources for the collective, and for aims that are bogus by any measurement?

Let's take, for example, the notions of the Paris Climate Agreement (the PCA), and the "Global cooling/warming/climate change" dogma that demands that the common man pay the authoritarians, so that that same authoritarian body can redistribute this funding to others who portend to be able to drastically change mankind's footprint, and the way populations live. In order to do this, these oligarchical bodies must, by definition, replace the aspirations of individuals working together for the aspirations of the oligarchs who are planning a new construction of "thought control" and "community organization", who, by definition, must restrict or expand this development in the ways the oligarchs see fit, not the individual, with tax money that is paid for by the "ignoble" common man. This insurmountable project is for a small group of elitists to control all forms of energy: oil, electricity, plastics, and the production of childbearing—the list is long enough.

The Paris Climate Agreement was established in 2015 — although its far reaching ramifications and inspirations extended much earlier than that — to reduce CO2 emissions by creating a usage/penalty/reward scheme that by no measure of the imagination will do one iota of good in solving environmental problems, or change the climate of the Globe. The evidence is clear enough on this matter. All you need to do is to look at the top echelon of promoters of this ponzi scheme, see how they live, and you will soon realize that they themselves don't believe in the mantra; but, they do believe that a lot of gullible suckers will surely generate enormous amounts of wealth for the pie in the sky, which compounds assets for the promoters of the pyramid of organized crime, which will compound basic economic dysfunctions, which in turn compounds problems of the compounds, all of which become a never ending spiral to hell.

And before that scheme there was the Kyoto Protocol Agreement, and before that, the climate cooling one, and before that...well, there have been many such concoctions throughout history. Nearly 200 oligarchical countries have promised to control the lives of their citizenry in various measures in the PCA in order to reduce CO2 (which isn't a pollutant in the manner that is suggested). Most CO2 on Earth is in the oceans, and farm animals produce more than gas-using vehicles. It is a fact that the quantity of CO2 in the atmosphere doesn't drive climate warming—not in all of history! To convince my readers of these facts would certainly take longer than this paper has time for, if they aren't already, so let me just leave it here.

There is a 50 to 1 project on youtube that might help them understand the cost/benefits of such a preposterous venture; it will cost more than 50 times more

resources to stop climate change than it would to adapt to it, "if and when" it ever happens. The 'if' and the 'when' here are very important to note because the climate always changes, and to view the history of the Globe's temperatures, the history of CO2 levels, and to be able to grasp just a very basic understanding of how the Sun's solar system interacts with itself, as well as how interstellar cosmos traverse, and interacts with itself in general, is to know this fraud.

The burning question is, should an elite of oligarchs rule over us, or should we rule over ourselves? It is clearly evident that when people are allowed the space to live and grow their own lives, that they will find solutions for their own woes and hardships, and will certainly wish to live in a colorful, healthy environment—no system is perfect, but... . Whenever oligarchs are made to be strong, devastation follows, and economies peter out; and wherever a free and moral people are allowed to grow their lives unfettered, they tend to have more time and more resources to produce better and more efficiently than any other system; the USA of old (where regulations were constitutionally limited, and immigration was more properly regulated) was a far more efficient system in combating, not only economic dysfunctions, but also environmental concerns, without creating massive regulations, regulatory agencies, and conglomerations of shady managers.

The real question to the environmental question is: Do you want to live free, or die?

Notes: Pretend you're trying to make a river that flows smoothly.

We (Who's we, and why are we responsible??) are responsible for the flora and fauna that surround us. We need to reduce our ecological footprint (How?). Let's be resourceful (How?)! You (First you say 'we', now you say 'you'. Which is it??) can decrease water usage by exploiting water-saving appliances (Where do these appliances come from and who pays for them??), collect rainwater and recycle it (How? Collecting rain water is going to save the planet??). You can also take shorter showers and use low-flow showerheads (That's a good 'you'. You can take shorter showers, but I'm not going to. How can that save the planet?). Conserve electricity. There are many simple ways you can reduce your energy use (for example?). Use high efficiency appliances in your home (Who pays for that?). Turn off appliances when they aren't in use. Use compact fluorescent light bulbs in your house (that are more expensive and don't work a lot of the times. Cost/benefits??).

Plastic debris in the ocean degrades marine habitats and contributes to the deaths of many marine animals. Because floating plastic often resembles food to many marine birds, sea turtles and marine mammals, they can choke or starve because their digestive systems get blocked when they eat it. Help prevent these unnecessary deaths—use cloth grocery bags (It rains too much in many countries—Hungary, for example, and shoppers often walk long distances, and paper bags aren't strong enough to endure the trip.) and reusable water bottles. Much of the plastic and debris found in the ocean has their beginnings in beach litter. As beach crowds increase, so does the amount of trash left behind. Don't let your day at the beach contribute to the destruction of our oceans. Bring a trash bag with you for your garbage. Tell that to the Indians, and the Africans and the Chinese...

Perhaps the greatest threat that faces many species is the widespread destruction of habitat. (??We??) (??must stop – that's a big proposal??) deforestation and plant trees on cut down areas. Trees are natural filters of the air that absorb carbon dioxide and produce oxygen. By cutting down trees (??we??) deprive animals of their natural environment, and the soil begin to grow in erosion (animals and the soil erosion are two different topics that should be in different sentences.). Planting trees will save birds and other animals. Scientists tell us the best way to protect endangered species is to protect the special places where they live. Wildlife must have places to find food, shelter and raise their young. Endangered species habitat should be protected and these impacts minimized. By protecting habitat, entire communities of animals and plants can be protected together. Parks, wildlife refuges, and other open spaces should be protected near your community. Having open space also provides (??us??) with great places to visit and enjoy. Support wildlife habitat and open space protection in your community!

Everything begins with small steps. If everyone would pay attention to these little things, we will be able to make the planet cleaner and healthier and poorer ('cause the friken money, the ability to build a city with natural materials (all materials are natural) would be abandoned, and the costs would sky-rocket).

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Enviromental protection

How to protect the environment? This is an important question for our society. At the same time, everyone else thinks in a different way about this. Just like I did. I do not believe in global warming. The facts are against it. We need to find the balance between nature conservation and resource utilization. We need to reduce the level of waste and the emission of pollutants.

We are responsible for the flora and fauna that surround us. We need to reduce our ecological footprint. Let's be resourceful! You can decrease water usage by exploiting water-saving appliances, collect rainwater and recycle it. You can also take shorter showers and use low-flow showerheads. Conserve electricity. There are many simple ways you can reduce your energy use. Use high efficiency appliances in your home. Turn off appliances when they aren't in use. Use compact fluorescent light bulbs in your house.

Plastic debris in the ocean degrades marine habitats and contributes to the deaths of many marine animals. Because floating plastic often resembles food to many marine birds, sea turtles and marine mammals, they can choke or starve because their digestive systems get blocked when they eat it. Help prevent these unnecessary deaths—use cloth grocery bags and reusable water bottles. Much of the plastic and debris found in the ocean has its beginnings in beach litter. As beach crowds increase, so does the amount of trash left behind. Don't let your day at the beach

contribute to the destruction of our oceans. Bring a trash bag with you for your garbage.

Perhaps the greatest threat that faces many species is the widespread destruction of habitat. We must stop deforestation and plant trees on cut down areas. Trees are natural filter of air that absorb carbon dioxide and produce oxygen. Cutting down trees we deprive animals of their natural environment and the soil begin to grow in erosion. Planting trees will save birds and other animals. Scientists tell us the best way to protect endangered species is to protect the special places where they live. Wildlife must have places to find food, shelter and raise their young. Endangered species habitat should be protected and these impacts minimized. By protecting habitat, entire communities of animals and plants can be protected together. Parks, wildlife refuges, and other open space should be protected near your community. Open space also provides us with great places to visit and enjoy. Support wildlife habitat and open space protection in your community.

Everything begins with a small step. If everyone would pay attention to these little things, we will be able to make the planet cleaner and healthier.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt